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## ABSTRACT

An engineer design road test and a railroad hump test were performed on the electronic equipment shelter, S-153, which contained simulated components of the radio/teletypewriter system, AN/GRC-122. These tests were conducted to measure shock and vibration response and to evaluate the structural adequacy of the system during road transport on the M37 truck and during railroad hump tests. There was no apparent damage to the shelter or equipment as a result of road shock and vibration tests. The tiedown slings which were provided adequately secured the shelter to the M37 truck. Railroad hump tests produced numerous failures of equipment mountings within the shelter; it is apparent from these failures that the present mounting methods are inadequate to restrain the equipment during railroad transportation. It is recommended that the damaged mountings be redesigned or modified and that additional hump tests be conducted to evaluate these modifications.
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## SECTION 1. GENERAL

### 1.1 REFERENCE

Hagen, J., Johnson, R. W., and Tolen, J. A., "A Study Establishing Methodology Describing the Automotive Vehicular Vibration Amplitude Environment." Aberdeen Proving Ground. Report No. DPS-657, August 1962.

### 1.2 AUTHORITY

This test was authorized by first indorsement to letter, AMSEL-RD-GTE, 6 April 1964 (Appendix A).

### 1.3 OBJECTIVE

This test was conducted to:
a. Determine the shock and vibration response and the structural adequacy of the electronic equipment shelter, S-153, and the AN/GRC-122 radio/teletypewriter system when transported on the $3 / 4$ ton, $4 \times 4$ cargo truck, 137 , over adverse terrain (Munson Test Area).
b. Determine the shock and vibration environment the shelter and AN/GRC-122 system will encounter during railroad humping operations.

### 1.4 RESPONSIBILITIES

Not applicable.

### 1.5 DESCRIPTION OF MATERIEL

The shelter is of aluminum construction and is mounted on three equally-spaced longitudinal skids. Brackets are located on the top four corners for attaching lift or tiedown slings. Figure 1 is a general view of the shelter mounted on an M37 truck.

The interior of the shelter contained a heater, combination safe, chair, and racks to mount the various electronic components. All electronic components referred to by AN/ designation were actually simulated by metal plates having the same weight as the component.

Gross weight of the $S-153$ shelter as tested was 1250 pounds.
The prime mover used for all road tests was the standard 3/4-ton, $4 \times 4$, cargo truck, M37.


Figure 1: Shelter Mounted on Truck, Cargo, 3/4-Ton, $4 \times 4$, M37.

### 1.6 BACKGROUND

Not applicable.

### 1.7 FINDINGS

There was no indication of instability or other adverse conditions at any time during this test.

The tiedown slings provided adequately restrained the shelter during operation over adverse terrain.

Shock and vibration levels recorded during the road testing were within the range normally encountered during operation on the various test courses.

Restraining devices used on some of the electrical equipment were inadequate and were damaged as a result of the railroad humping tests.

A vertical support in the left side wall of the shelter structure adjacent to the AN/GRC-106 mounting rack was damaged during the railroad hump tests.

There was no damage to the exterior of the shelter, the blocking or the tiedowns as a result of shock generated during the railroad humping test.

### 1.8 CONCLUSIONS

It is concluded that:
a. Stability of the M37 truck with the $\mathrm{S}-153$ shelter and equipment was satisfactory for all speeds and conditions tested.
b. The tiedown slings provided for road transport adequately restrained the shelter throughout the limited tests conducted.
c. Blocking and tiedown methods used to at tach the shelter to the flatcar appeared adequate for rail transportation.
d. Mounting fixtures for some AN/GRC-122 components are not adequate to withstand railroad humping at 9.2 mph .

### 1.9 RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the damaged mounting fixtures be redesigned or modified and that additional railroad hump tests be conducted.

## SECTION 2. DETAILS OF TEST

### 2.1 INTRODUCTION

An engineer design test was performed to obtain data and information to evaluate the integrity of the proposed design and to determine changes which may be necessary to meet the environmental and functional requirements of the equipment tested.

### 2.2 ROAD SHOCK AND VIBRATION

### 2.2.1 Objective

This test was conducted to determine the shock and vibration environment and the structural adequacy of the proposed design when transported on an M37 truck over adverse terrain (Munson test courses).

### 2.2.2 Method

The shelter was received in satisfactory condition. No defects were observed in either the shelter or the components (simulated) it contained.

The shelter was secured to the $3 / 4-$ ton truck using the tiedown cables provided. The shelter and all assemblies and structural members were checked for rigidity. All equipment mountings were checked prior to and periodically during testing.

The 3/4-ton truck, with shelter, was driven five times over each of the Munson test courses at the speeds shown in Table I.

Table I. Shock and Vibration Test Speeds
Course
Six-inch washboard Speed, mph5
Belgian block ..... 20
Spaced bump ..... 20
Radial washboard ..... 15
Two-inch washboard ..... 10

Profiles of the test courses are included in Appendix B.
Recordings of the shock and vibration data were made during the first lap of each course. After the test, the interior and exterior of the shelter were inspected to determine evidence of breakage, deformation, or loosening of parts and structural members.

### 2.2.3 Results

There was no indication of vehicle instability or damage to the shelter or AN/GRC-122 system as a result of operation over adverse terrain (Munson test course).

The tiedown slings provided adequately restrained the shelter during operation over adverse terrain.

Shock and vibration levels ${ }^{\text {a }}$ recorded during the road tests were within the range normally encountered during operation on the various courses. Generally, the rms values were below 0.5 g ; however, there were several (six) instances when this value was exceeded. The maximum rms value of 0.94 g was recorded on the 2 -inch washboard course at 10 mph . Amplitude distribution for each transducer location for each test course, together with major frequencies extracted from spectral analysis data, are contained in Appendix B.

### 2.2.4 Analysis

2.2.4.1 Recording and Analysis Equipment. The outputs of all transducers were recorded on Consolidated Electrodynamics Corporation Model 5-701 tape recorders. A block diagram of the recording system, loop transfer, and loop analysis equipment is shown in Figure 2. Frequency range of the recording system is dc to 600 cps for resistance bridge transducers.
${ }^{a}$ As used in this report shock response is a response of significant amplitude that occurs at a repetitive rate lower than the lowest damped natural frequency of the items (vehicle and onboard gear) under test. Significant shock is considered present when the ratio of crest $g$ to rms g (amplitude distribution analysis) exceeds $8: 1$. When this ratio is less than $8: 1$ the response is defined as vibration and can best be described by the rms $g$ level.


BLOCK DLACRAN OF LABORATORY IMSTRUEEITRATION
RESL TO LOOP TRAMSFER


100P AHALYSIS


CSC - Consolidated Electrodyneales Corporation
OAT - Ortholog Division - Oulton Industries
CRL. Columbis Research iaboratories

Figure 2: Block Diagram of Field Recording and Laboratory Instrumentation.
2.2.4.2 Data Reduction, Data acquired in the field in tape-reel form are demodulated in the laboratory and transcribed onto tape loops using the arrangement shown in Figure 2. The loop transporter and associated electronics conform to Inter-Range Instrumentation Group (IRIG) standards. The output of the loop transporter is fed into a multichannel automatic data processing system which dissects the data in two forms: amplitude distribution (amplitude probability density function) and spectral analysis.

The amplitude analyzer is a semiautomated device which determines and records the per cent of time, in relation to the total sample time, that the data signal exceeded 18 levels (nine levels positive and nine levels negative around a zero-voltage base line). This analyzer cetermines the amplitude for the full frequency spectrum. Frequency response of this system is 2 to 3000 cps ; however, the range can be varied by changing the ratio of playback to record speed of the tape loop without destroying the integrity of the data. The frequency range for data included in this report was 0.5 to 200 cps . Results of these analyses are used to determine the root mean square (rms) deviation, the amplitude that was exceeded $1 \%$ of the time, and the crest (maximum amplitude). These three values were computed using the method outlined in Reference, paragraph 1.1.

Spectral analyses were made using wave form analyzers equipped with selectable fixed bandwidth filters. For analysis of data in this report, the following parameters were used:

| Sample length | 15 seconds |
| :--- | :--- |
| Loop playback speed to record speed | $4: 1$ |
| Smoothing (averaging) time | Loop length |
| Effective filter bandwidth | 2.5 cps |
| Oscillator scanning rate | 0.5 cps per second |

2.2.4.3 Transducers. The test item was instrumented with $\pm 25 \mathrm{~g}$ and $\pm 15 \mathrm{~g}$ Statham Laboratories Model A5A accelerometers. These accelerometers were located as listed in Table II.

Table II. Accelerometer Locations

| Channel | Location | Plane |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | AN/GRC-106 (simulated) | Vertical |
| 2 |  | Transverse |
| 3 |  | Longitudinal |
| 4 | ```Left vertical rack member, adjacent to AN/GRC-106``` | Vertical |
| 5 |  | Transverse |
| 6 |  | Longitudinal |
| 7 | Left vertical rack member, floor level | Vertical |
| 8 |  | Transverse |
| 9 |  | Longitudinal |
| 10 | Modem MD-522 ( )/GRC, (simulated) | Vertical |
| 11 |  | Transverse |
| 12 |  | Longitudinal |
| 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Teletypewriter TT-76 ( ), GGC, } \\ & \text { (simulated) } \end{aligned}$ | Vertical |
| 14 |  | Transverse |
| 15 |  | Longitudinal |
| 16 | Dummy box 1 (simulated) | Vertical |
| 17 |  | Transverse |
| 18 |  | Longitudinal |
| 19 | Dummy box 2 (simulated) | Vertical |
| 20 |  | Transverse |
| 21 |  | Longitudinal |
| 22 | Duplex AN/UGC-4 (simulated) | Vertical |
| 23 |  | Transverse |
| 24 |  | Longitudinal |

Figures 3 and 4 show the transducer mounting at each location.

### 2.3 RAILROAD HUMPING

### 2.3.1 Objective

This test was conducted to determine the shock and vibration environment and the structural integrity of the proposed design when subjected to railroad hump operations.


Figure 3: Accelerometers Mounted on: (5) AN/GRC-106; (6) Vertical Support, Left Vertical Rack Member; (7) Teletypewriter TT-76 ( )/GGC; (8) Left Vertical Rack Member, Floor Level.


Figure 4: Accelerometers Mounted on: (1) Modem MD-522 ( )/GRC; (2) Dummy Box No. 2; (3) Dummy Box No. 1; (4) Duplex AN/UGC-4.

### 2.3.2 Method

The shelter was loaded in the manner normally used for shipment on a railroad flatcar (American Railroads Association approved methods). Tests were conducted on a flat, straight stretch of track. An impact car of 165,000 pounds gross weight, traveling at a nominal speed of 9 mph , was impacted against the stationary test car which was coupled to two other cars. One of these cars was loaded to approximately 165,000 pounds gross weight, the other car was unloaded. The buffer cars were stationary with brakes off. Four impacts were performed, two with the shelter positioned longitudinally to the flatcar and two positioned laterally.

For regulation of speed, the locomotive trailed a calibrated fifth wheel; the locomotive engineer used the speedometer as a reference. The actual impact speeds were determined by measuring the time required for the impact car to travel the last 50 feet prior to striking the buffer load. Actual speeds are tabulated in Table III.

Table III. Rail Hump Impact Speeds

| Hump No. | $\underset{\substack{\text { Object Speed, } \\ \text { mph }}}{ }$ | Direction | Impact Speed, mph |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 9 | Impact into rear of shelter (longitudinal) | 9.0 |
| 2 | 9 | Impact into rear of shelter (longitudinal) | 9.2 |
| 3 | 9 | Impact into right side of shelter (lateral) | 9.2 |
| 4 | 9 | Impact into right side of shelter (lateral) | 8.5 |

During railroad humping tests, dummy boxes 1 and 2 were removed from the shelter. Two of the accelerometers removed from these locations were positioned on the flatcar floor to measure the vertical and longitudinal input at the shelter base (Figure
5). At the conclusion of the longitudinal hump tests (tests 1 and 2), the teletypewriter TT-76 ( )/GRC and the duplex AN/GRC-4 were removed from the shelter. The three transducers mounted on the
duplex AN/GRC-4 unit were relocated on the sponson at the mounting base.

Recordings of the shock duration and amplitude were made during the test. After the test, the interior and exterior of the shelter was inspected to determine evidence of breakage, deformation, or loosening of parts and structural members.

Figures 5 and 6 are general views of the railroad hump test setup and a three-quarter view of the shelter tiedown.


Figure 5: Accelerometers Mounted on Railroad Car Floor Adjacent to Blocking and Over-all View of Shelter Tiedown.


Figure 6: View of Railroad Hump Test Setup.

### 2.3.3 Results

Oscillographic record sections showing the response of the test item to rail humping (impacts) at a speed of 9.2 mph are included in Appendix B.

There was no apparent damage to the shelter blocking as a result of impacts up to 9.2 mph ; however, the equipment-mounting fixtures within the shelter were inadequate in some instances. A list of deficiencies noted after each impact follows:
a. Impact No. I.

1) The TT-76/GCC teletypewriter and two AN/UGC-4 mounting-base guides broke at point where the locking pin goes through on each of the three mounting bases. The left-hand AN/UCC-4 shelf was removed; the other two shelves were reinforced by means of C-clamps to obtain additional data.
2) A vertical structural member of the shelter appeared to be deformed at the point where the mounting shelf for the AN/GRC-106 was attached. Verification could not be made because the member was hidden by the internal and external skin of the shelter.
3) The safe door appeared to be sprung slightly at the bottom.
4) One of four RIVNUTS which secure the chair to the floor failed.
b. Impact No. 2.
5) At the AN/GRC-106 system, the vertical member of the shelter appeared to have additional deformation.
c. Impact No. 3.
6) At the AN/GRC-106 system, the mounting shelf began to separate from the shelter side wall.
7) A slight deformation of the air ducting occurred as a result of duct displacement.
8) The forward motor generator set shifted in the mounting fixture. This was attributed to loosening of tiedown bolts; no damage occurred.
d. Impact No. 4.
9) At the AN/GRC-106 system, the mounting shelf broke loose from the shelter side wall and bent slightly at center rear of shelf. The vertical supnort of the shelter appeared fractured.
10) The Modem MD-522 ( ) GRC support shelf impacted and bent both sides of the exhaust plenum. Slight bending of Modem shelf occurred at the center rear area.
11) Rivets holding the safe mounting fixture nulled loose from shelf supnorts on one side.

### 2.3.4 Analysis

Data obtained from rail hump testing are primarily shock in nature and as such can be best described by a time-history (oscillogran) presentation. Time-history records in this report have been reproduced using 600 - and $60-\mathrm{cps}$ galvanometers. The 60 -cycle galvanometers effectively filtered out the high-frequency local resonances caused mainly by ringing of the parent metal and aid the reader in determining the underlying shock impulses.

## SECTION 3. . APPENDICES

## APPENDIX A - TEST DIRECTIVE

## AlSSE-EL (6 Apr 64) lst Ind <br> SUBJECT: Test Directive, Engineer Design (Road) Test, Category II of Radio Teletypewriter Set AN/GRC-122, USATECOM Project Number 6-4-3112-05

Headquarters, U. S. Army Test \& Evaluation Command, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 2100510 APR 1964

TO: Commanding Officer, Aberdeen Proving Ground, AITN: STEAP-DS-LU, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005

1. References:
a. Telephone conversation between Mr Harry Shore, USAELRDL and Mr Stanley, HQ, USATECOM, 7 Apr 64.
b. Telephone conversation between Mr P McKay, APG, D $£ P S$ and Mr Stanley, HO, USATECO:1, 7 Apr 64.
2. You are directed to conduct an Engineer Design (Road) Test on Radio Teletypewriter Set AN/GRC-122 as requested by USAECRDC in the basic letter. This task has been assigned USATECOM Project Number 6-14-3112-05 and has been entered into TEAIS.
3. This is a Category II test being conducted as a service to the reouesting agency. The report of test will be forwarded to the requesting agency with an information copy to this headquarters, JSAEPG, and JSAAESNAd.
4. Funds in the amount of $\$ 5,000$ are availaole under Service Order Nr FY-64-95178 to DRPS as discussed with Fir lickay, ref lb, and Hr Shore, ref la.
5. Mr il Shore of USAELRDL stated that additional funds in the amount of $\$ 2,500$ will be transferred to $A P G, D \cdot P S$ to complete testing, ref la.
6. Request that Development and Proof Services ascertain that the additional funding has been made available prior to accomplishnent of those test phases which are not funded by the initial Service Order Nr FY-64-95178.

FOR I'E COM ANDER:

```
lIncl ROBERT A., BAILEY
    TEAMS Forms 1st L,t AGC
    Asst idmia Officer
    Copies furnished:
        CG, USAEPG, A'TTI: SCESP-O
        Pres, IISAAS SN Bd, ATRN: STEBF-CE
```

HEADQUARTERS
U. S. ARMY ELECTRONICS RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT LABORATORIES FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY $07 / 03$

IN REPLY REFER TO:
17...

AMSEL-RD-GIY
6 APR 1964
SUBJECT: Manson Road and Rail Humping Teats on Dunmy Loaded Radio Teletypewriter Set AN/GRC-122

TO: Commanding General
U.S. Army Test and Evaluation Command

ATTN: ANSTE-EL
Aberdeen Proving Ground
Maryland

1. Reference is made to telephone conversation on 26 March between Mr. H. Cline, STEAP-DS-LJ, and Mr. H. Kreisler, USAELRDL, and to Visit with your Mr. R. Lee on 1 April, regarding a request to conduct shock and vibration tests on the subject equipment.
2. The tests required are engineering design tests and require the use of instrumentation to record peak $g$ versus frequency at critical points in the shelter. The placement of the accelerometers will be indicated by the USAELRDL engineer who will accompany the equipment, make visual observations, monitor and direct the tests. Development and Proof Services will be required to use their instrumentation, make their recordings, analyze the results and provide USAELRDL with a report of these results.
3. A transfer of funds in the anount of $\$ 5000$, based on preliminary cost estimate issued by Mr. Cline, was made on 1 April under Service Order No. FY-64 95178.
4. It was agreed that the equipment would arrive at APG on 6 April and that efforts would be made to start the tests on or about 7 April.
5. A copy of the test procedure was left with Mr. R. Lee on 1 April.
6. For any questions requiring further coordination on this task, your contact at USAELRDL is Mr. H. Kreisler, AMSEL-RD-GTF, extension 51838.

## AUSEL-RD-GTF

## SUBJECT: Manson Road and Rail Humping Tests on Dunes Loaded Radio Teletypewriter Sot AN/GRC-122

7. It is requested that approval for conducting the above tests be granted.
8. Use of overtime not to exceed 40 hours is authorized to assure the most efficient accomplishment of this task.

FOR THE COMMANDER z

Copy furnished:
CO, D\&PS
ATTN: STEAP-DS-LU APG, Ma.


ROBERT M. GREENWOOD
$2 \mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{SigC}$
Asst Adjutant

APPENDIX B - TEST DATA



The profile approaches a sine vave with a double amplitude of aix inches and a complete cycle occurring every six foet for a distance of 800 feet. The course surface is concrete.

## beLoInl block course



This cource is a cobblestone road which provides an irregular and bumpy surface. The iadividual cobblestones avarage approximately ivo inebes in width. The course irregularities, which not only vary alons the longth ( 3936 feet) of the course but aleo acrose its width, have esente of about three inches. The creats are such that a vehtcle traveling over thea is aubjected to both pitching and rolling motions.

RADIAL WASHDONRD COURSE


Two 90 degrees radial turns make up the Radial Washboard Course along with aymetrical bumps which vary from two to four inches in height and from one to six feet from crest to crest. The course is 128 foet lons and 20 feet vide.


ISONEIRIC VIEN


LONOITUDLIAL GECTIOM
This course conaists of a series of rounded bumpa three inches high by three feet wide apaced at intervals of 30 feet along the centerline of the course. The bumpe make the following angles with respect to the centerlime of the course: $90^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, 67^{\circ}, 52^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, 113^{\circ}, 128^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}$, this sequence continues for a total of twenty six bumps or three cyclee for a total of 832 foet.

TWO-INCH WASHBOARD COURSE


The profile approaches a sine wave vith a double amplitude of two inches and a complete cycle occurring every two feet to a distance of approximately 300 feet. The course surface is concrete.
Uase Farm 2507－（R），2his Jul 62 RECORD 15 SECONDS

##  COURSE Bix－Inch Washbeard <br> COURSE Six－Inch Waahbeard SPISAD＿5 MPH

DATE OF TEST 15 April 1964 ANPLITYUDS DISHRIBUTIOM AMALYBIS

| CBAN． | LOCATION | ACCELURATION－g |  |  |  | MAJOR FREQUENCIES ${ }^{\text {a－cps }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PIANE | $\sigma$ | 1\％Lev． | Crest |  |  |  |
| 1 | MI／Gic－106（simulated） | Vert | ． 36 | ． 94 | 1.7 | 2，5，17 |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| 2 |  | Trans | ． 12 | ． 31 | ． 52 | 28，22，3 |  | 䙷总 |
| 3 |  | Long | ． 39 | ． 95 | 1.4 | 2．5，6，22 |  | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 4 | Lert Vertical Rack Nember，Adjacent to AN／GRC－106 | Vert | ． 33 | ． 75 | ． 98 | 3 |  | －${ }^{\circ}$ |
| 5 |  | Trans | ． 08 | ． 23 | ． 63 | 2.523 | 32 | $8_{0}^{9}$ |
| 6 |  | Long | ． 30 | ． 70 | ． 93 | 5，20 |  | 层号 |
| 7 | Left Vertical Rack Member，Floor Level | vert | ． 34 | ． 86 | 1.3 | 2.5 |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |
| 8 |  | Trans | ． 05 | ． 14 | ． 37 | 3．5，10， | 18 | 亭 |
| in 9 |  | Long | ． 22 | ． 50 | ． 67 | 2．5，8， | 14，20 | $\bigcirc$ |
| 20 | MODSM MD－522（）／GRC，（Simulated） | Vert | ． 35 | ． 86 | 1.3 | 2．5，18， | 34 | \％ |
| 11 |  | Trans | ． 08 | ． 23 | ． 59 | 2．5，33， | 22 | ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| 12 |  | Long | ． 30 | ． 60 | ． 72 | 3，6．5， | 20 | ＇${ }^{\circ}$ |
| 13 | Teletypewriter TIT－76（），GGC，（Simulated） | Vert | ． 32 | ． 77 | 1.1 | 319，16 |  | $\stackrel{7}{\square}$ |
| 14 |  | Trans | ． 07 | ． 20 | ． 64 | 45，3 |  |  |
| 15 |  | Long | ． 28 | ． 73 | 1.2 | 3，28 |  | 웅 |
| 16 | Dumy Bax 1 （Simulated） | Vert | ． 32 | ． 74 | 1.0 | 2．5，6， | 20，40 | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}}{\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}}$ |
| $\underline{17}$ |  | Trans | ． 07 | 23 | ． 71 | 2．5，345， | 5， 52 |  |
| 18 |  | Long | ． 29 | ． 68 | ． 93 | 3，7 |  |  |
| 19 | Dummy Box 2 （Simulated） | Vert | ． 33 | ． 80 | 1.1 | 3，16．5， | 45 | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ |
| 20 |  | Trans | ． 07 | ． 20 | ． 51 | 3．5，53， | 65，20 | ${ }^{+}$ |
| 21 |  | Long | ． 29 | ． 71 | 1.1 | 3，10， 79 |  | 首会 |
| 22 | Duplex An／Ucc－4（Simulated） | Vert | ． 41 | ． 97 | 1.3 | 3．5，21， | 28 | －¢ |
| 23 |  | Trans | ． 07 | ． 18 | ． 36 | 2．5，12， | 20，32 | $\stackrel{\infty}{0}$ |
| 24 |  | Long | ． 25 | ． 64 | 1.1 | 3， 7111 | $22 \ldots$ |  |

POUNDS
SPEER 20 MPR
PSI
${ }^{\prime}$ Approximaté centér frequency 'of narrow band responses ( $2.5^{\circ}$ to 5 cps wide)

## OPDBG Form 2587-(R), 24 Jul 62 VEBICLE Truck, Cargo, $3 / 4 \mathrm{Ton}, 4 \times 4,137$ CARGO Shelter Containing Al//GRC-122 Syitem <br> COURSE Belgian Block <br>  <br> REMARKS Nll Eloctronic Components Simulated DATE OF TEST 15 April 1964

ANPLITUDE DISTRIBUTION AKALISIS
which combine to contain the greatest portion of the full spectrum energy.
OPDBG Form 2587-(R), 24 Jul 62 VEHICLE Truck, Cargo, $3 / 4 \mathrm{Ton}, 4 \times 4$, M37
COURSE Bpaced Bump
SPEED_20 MPH
POUNDS
PSI
 which combine to contain the greatest portion of the full spectrum energy.

OPDBG Form 2587-(R), 24 Jul 62






ORDBG Form 2587-(R), 24 Jul 62
COURSE Tro-Inch Washboard SPEBED 10 MPH RECORD RECORD $\frac{15}{2}$ SECONDS
DATE OF TEST 15 April 1964 AMPLITUDE DISTRIBUTION ANALYSIS

${ }^{a}$ Approximaté center frequency of narrow band responses ( 2.5 to $5 \mathrm{cps}{ }^{\prime}$ wide) which combine to contain the greatest portion of the full spectrum energy. | VEBICLE Truck, Carro, $3 / 4 \mathrm{TVn}, 4 \times 4,1037$ |
| :--- |
| CARGO Sheltor Containing AI/GRC-122 Eystem |
| REMARKS All Electronic Components Simulated | | VEBICLE Truck, Carro, $3 / 4 \mathrm{TVn}, 4 \times 4,1037$ |
| :--- |
| CARGO Shelter Containing AI/GRC-122 Eystem |
| REMARKS All Electronic Components Simulated | | VEBICLE Truck, Carro, $3 / 4 \mathrm{TVn}, 4 \times 4,1037$ |
| :--- |
| CARGO Shelter Containing AI/GRC-122 Eystem |
| REMARKS All Electronic Components Simulated |

## PSI
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| PLANE |
| :--- |
| Vert |
| Trans |
| Iong |
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